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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose a hybrid implicit iteration method for a finite of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. Under Opial's condition, semicompact, condition $(\bar{C})$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, \mathfrak{F}(T)\right)=$ 0 , we prove some strong and weak convergence theorems for this family of mappings using proposed iteration method. The results presented in this paper extend and improve the corresponding results of Xu (2001), Zeng


 (2006) and Jiang (2014).Keywords: Asymptotically nonexpansive mapping; Common fixed point; Opial's condition; Demiclosed principle; Semicompactness.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and let $K$ be a nonempty convex subset of $E$. A mapping $T$ : $K \rightarrow K$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in K$. A mapping $A: K \rightarrow K$ is said to be L-Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $L>0$ such that $\|A x-A y\| \leq L\|x-y\|$ for

[^0]all $x, y \in K, n \geq 1$. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ such that $\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in K, n \geq 1$.

Let $K$ be a nonempty convex subset of $E$ and let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of nonexpansive self-maps of $K$. In 2001, Xu and Ori [1] introduced the following implicit iteration method. For any $x_{0} \in K$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset(0,1)$, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is generates as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}= & \alpha_{1} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) T_{1} x_{1}, \\
x_{2}= & \alpha_{2} x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) T_{2} x_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
x_{N}= & \alpha_{N} x_{N-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{N}\right) T_{N} x_{N} \\
x_{N+1}= & \alpha_{N+1} x_{N}+\left(1-\alpha_{N+1}\right) T_{1} x_{N+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The scheme is expressed in compact form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$ (here the $\bmod N$ takes values in $\left.\{1,2, \cdots, N\}\right)$, they proved weak convergence theorem in Hilbert spaces.

Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of nonexpansive self-maps of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $G: H \rightarrow$ $H$. Suppose that there exists some constants $\kappa, \eta>0$ such that the mapping $G$ is $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly monotone. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset(0,1),\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset[0,1)$ and take a fixed number $\mu \in\left(0, \frac{2 \eta}{\kappa^{2}}\right)$. Zeng and Yao [2] introduced the following implicit hybrid iteration method. For
an arbitrary initial point $x_{0} \in H$, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is generated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}= & \alpha_{1} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right)\left[T_{1} x_{1}-\lambda_{1} \mu G\left(T_{1} x_{1}\right)\right] \\
x_{2}= & \alpha_{2} x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right)\left[T_{2} x_{2}-\lambda_{2} \mu G\left(T_{2} x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \vdots \\
x_{N}= & \alpha_{N} x_{N-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{N}\right)\left[T_{N} x_{N}-\lambda_{N} \mu G\left(T_{N} x_{N}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This scheme can be expressed in a concise form as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left[T_{n} x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \mu G\left(T_{n} x_{n}\right)\right], \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{1.2.}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$. By using the iteration scheme (1.2), they obtained the weak and strong convergence theorems in Hilbert space. It is clear that if $\lambda_{n}=0$, for all $n \geq 1$, then the implicit iteration scheme (1.2) reduces to the implicit iteration process (1.1).

Recently, Jiang et al. [3] extended the results of Zeng and Yao from Hilbert spaces to Banach spaces and proved weak and strong convergence theorems without the strong monotonicity condition.

In this paper, motivated and inspired by above results, an hybrid implicit iteration method for a finite of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings is introduced. Weak and strong convergence theorems are obtained. The results presented in this paper improve and extend the corresponding results of [1], [2] and [3].

## The hybrid implicit iteration method.

Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex Banach space and let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with $\mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $A: K \rightarrow K$ be an L-Lipschitzian mapping. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequences in $(0,1)$,
$\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1), \mu$ is positive fixed constant. Then defined a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}= & \alpha_{1} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right)\left[T_{1} x_{1}-\lambda_{1} \mu A\left(T_{1} x_{1}\right)\right] \\
x_{2}= & \alpha_{2} x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right)\left[T_{2} x_{2}-\lambda_{2} \mu A\left(T_{2} x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \vdots \\
x_{N}= & \alpha_{N} x_{N-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{N}\right)\left[T_{N} x_{N}-\lambda_{N} \mu A\left(T_{N} x_{N}\right)\right] \\
x_{N+1}= & \alpha_{N+1} x_{N}+\left(1-\alpha_{N+1}\right)\left[T_{1}^{2} x_{N+1}-\lambda_{N+1} \mu A\left(T_{1}^{2} x_{N+1}\right)\right] \\
& \vdots \\
x_{2 N}= & \alpha_{2 N} x_{2 N-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{2 N}\right)\left[T_{N}^{2} x_{2 N}-\lambda_{2 N} \mu A\left(T_{N}^{2} x_{2 N}\right)\right] \\
x_{2 N+1}= & \alpha_{2 N+1} x_{2 N}+\left(1-\alpha_{2 N+1}\right)\left[T_{1}^{3} x_{2 N+1}-\lambda_{2 N+1} \mu A\left(T_{1}^{3} x_{2 N+1}\right)\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

which is called hybrid implicit iteration for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$.

For each $n \geq 1$, it can be written as $n=(k-1) N+i$, where $i=i(n) \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}, k=$ $k(n) \geq 1$ is a positive integer and $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we can (1.3) in the following compact form:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n} & =\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n} \\
& =\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left[T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \mu A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right)\right], \quad n \geq 1 . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study the convergence of an iterative sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.4) to a common fixed point for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings under Opial's condition , semicompact, condition $(\bar{C})$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, \mathfrak{F}(T)\right)=$ 0 , respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the sake of convenience, we first recall some definitions and conclusions.

A Banach space $E$ is said to satisfy the Opial's condition [4] if, for all sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to some $x \in E$, the inequality $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<$ $\limsup n_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|$ holds for all $y \neq x$ in $E$.

Definition 1.1. Let $D$ be a closed subset of $E$ and $T: D \rightarrow D$ be a mapping.
(i) $T$ is said to be demiclosed at the origin if for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $D$, the conditions $x_{n} \rightarrow x_{0}$ weakly and $T x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly imply $T x_{0}=0$.
(ii) $T$ is said to be demicompact if any bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ such that $\left\{x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\}$ converges, there exists a subsequence say $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ that strongly to some $x^{*}$ in $D$.
(iii) $T$ is said to be semicompact if for any bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ such that $\left\{x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\} \rightarrow$ 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence say $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{j}} \rightarrow x^{*}$ in $D$.

Senter and Datson [5] established a relation between condition (A) and demicompactness. They showed that the condition (A) is weaker than demicompactness for a nonexpansive mapping $T$ defined on a bounded set. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ with $F(T)=\{x \in K: T x=x\} \neq \emptyset$ is said to satisfy condition (A) [5] if there exists a nondecreasing function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $f(0)=0$ and $f(t)>0$ for all $t \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\|x-T x\| \geq f\left(d\left(x_{n}, F(T)\right)\right)$ for all $x \in K$, where $d\left(x_{n}, F(T)\right)=\inf \{\|x-q\|: q \in F(T)\}$.

A family $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ of $N$ of $N$ self mappings of $K$ with $\mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ is said to satisfy condition $(\bar{C})$ on $K[6]$ if there exists a nondecreasing function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ with $f(0)=0$ and $f(t)>0$ for all $t \in(0, \infty)$ and all $x \in K$ such that $\left\|x-T_{l} x\right\| \geq f\left(d\left(x_{n}, F(T)\right)\right)$ for at least one $T_{l}, l=1,2, \cdots, N$; or in other words, at least one of the $T_{i}$ 's satisfies condition (A).

Lemma 2.1. [7] Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1+\delta_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists. If in addition $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ has a subsequence which converges strongly to zero, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$.

Lemma 2.2. [8] Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space and let a, b be two constants with $0<a<b<1$. Suppose that $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ is a real sequence and $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are two sequences
in $E$. Then the conditions

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|=d, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq d, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq d
$$

imply that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$, where $d \geq 0$ is a constant.
Lemma 2.3. [9] Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex Banach space, $K$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, and $T: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero, i.e. for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $K$, if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $q \in K$ and $\left\{(I-T) x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to 0 , then $(I-T) q=0$.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex Banach space, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings of $K$ with sequences $\left\{h_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset ; A: K \rightarrow K$ is an L-Lischitzian mapping. Let the hybrid implicit iteration $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence defined by (1.4), where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is real sequences in $(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) there exists constants $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that $\tau_{1} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \leq \tau_{2}, \forall n \geq 1$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty$;
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(h_{n}-1\right)<\infty$, where $h_{n}=\max \left\{h_{n}^{(1)}, h_{n}^{(2)}, \cdots, h_{n}^{(N)}\right\}$.

Then
(1) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=0$ exists, $\forall p \in \mathfrak{F}$;
(2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{l} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0, \forall l=1,2, \cdots, N$,
(3) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$ if and only if $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$.

Proof. (1) Since $\mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$, for each $p \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \left\|\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left[T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \mu A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right)\right]-p\right\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} \mu\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} \mu\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right)-A(p)\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} \mu\|A(p)\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} \mu h_{k(n)} L\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} \mu\|A(p)\| . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h_{k(n)} \rightarrow 1(n \rightarrow \infty)$, we know that $\left\{h_{k(n)}\right\}$ is bounded, and there exists $R_{1} \geq 1$ such that $h_{k(n)} \leq R_{1}$. Let $d_{n}=h_{k(n)}-1, \forall n \geq 1$, by condition (iii) we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}<\infty$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1+d_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} \mu\|A(p)\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}+d_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n} \mu\|A(p)\| \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\frac{d_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\frac{\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\frac{\lambda_{n} \mu}{\alpha_{n}}\|A(p)\| \\
= & \left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\frac{d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\frac{\lambda_{n} \mu}{\alpha_{n}}\|A(p)\| . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By condition (i) we have $1-\tau_{2} \leq \alpha_{n}$. Therefore, from (3.3) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\frac{d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L}{1-\tau_{2}}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\frac{\lambda_{n} \mu}{1-\tau_{2}}\|A(p)\| \\
\leq & \frac{1-\tau_{2}}{1-\tau_{2}-\left(d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\right)}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\| \\
& +\frac{\lambda_{n} \mu}{1-\tau_{2}-\left(d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\right)}\|A(p)\| \\
= & \left(1+\frac{d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L}{1-\tau_{2}-\left(d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\right)}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\| \\
& +\frac{\lambda_{n} \mu}{1-\tau_{2}-\left(d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\right)}\|A(p)\| \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since condition (ii) and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}<\infty$, we know that $d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; therefore there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L \leq \frac{1-\tau_{2}}{2}$, for all $n \geq n_{0}$. It follows from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| & \leq\left(1+\frac{2\left(d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\right)}{1-\tau_{2}}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\frac{2 \lambda_{n} \mu}{1-\tau_{2}}\|A(p)\| \\
& =\left(1+\delta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+b_{n} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{n}=\frac{2\left(d_{n}+\lambda_{n} \mu R_{1} L\right)}{1-\tau_{2}}$ and $b_{n}=\frac{2 \lambda_{n} \mu}{1-\tau_{2}}\|A(p)\|$. By using condition (ii) and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}<\infty$, it is easy to see that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty ; \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists.
(2) Since $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is bounded, there exists $R_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq R_{2}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=r \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r \geq 0$ is some number. Since $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is a convergent sequence, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded sequence in $K$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}-p\right)\right\| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By condition (ii), $h_{k(n)} \leq R_{1}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}<\infty$ and (3.6), (3.7), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \mu A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right)-p\right\| \\
\leq & \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right\| \\
& +\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \mu\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right)-A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right)\right\| \\
& +{\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \mu}\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \mu L h_{k(n)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +{\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \mu}\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+d_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \mu L R_{1} R_{2} \\
& +{\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \mu}\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right)\right\| \\
\leq & r . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, from (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n-1}-T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| & =\left\|\left(\alpha_{n}-1\right) x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad(\text { as } n \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.11), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+l}-x_{n}\right\|=0, \quad \forall l=1,2, \cdots, N \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n-1}-T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad(\text { as } n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.13) and condition (ii), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n} \mu\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T^{\lambda_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n} \mu\left(L R_{1} R_{2}+\left\|A\left(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} p\right)\right\|\right) \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad(\text { as } n \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.11) and (3.14) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|+h_{1}\left\{h_{n-1}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-N}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} x_{n-N}-x_{n-N}\right\|+\left\|x_{n-N}-x_{n}\right\|\right\} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_{n}\right\|+h_{1}\left(1+h_{n-1}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-N}\right\| \\
& +h_{1}\left\|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} x_{n-N}-x_{n-N}\right\| \\
\rightarrow & 0 \quad(\operatorname{as~} n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $l=1,2, \cdots, N$, from (3.12) and (3.15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-T_{n+l} x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-x_{n+l}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+l}-T_{n+l} x_{n+l}\right\|+\left\|T_{n+l} x_{n+l}-T_{n+l} x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & (1+L)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+l}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+l}-T_{n+l} x_{n+l}\right\| \\
\rightarrow & 0 \quad(\text { as } n \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\|=0, \quad \forall l=1,2, \cdots, N \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) The necessity is obvious. Therefore, we will prove the sufficiency. For arbitrary $p \in \mathfrak{F}$, it follows from (3.5) that

$$
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left(1+\delta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+b_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}
$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n}, \mathfrak{F}\right) \leq\left(1+\delta_{n}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, \mathfrak{F}\right)+b_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq n_{0} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and (3.18) that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, \mathfrak{F}\right)$ exists. By the assumption, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, \mathfrak{F}\right)=0$.

Next we prove that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $K$. In fact, since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$, $1+t \leq e^{t}$ for all $t>0$, by (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq e^{\delta_{n}}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+b_{n} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for any positive integers $m, n$, from (3.19) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+m}-p\right\| & \leq e^{\delta_{n+m}}\left\|x_{n+m-1}-p\right\|+b_{n+m} \\
& \leq e^{\delta_{n+m}}\left[e^{\delta_{n+m-1}}\left\|x_{n+m-2}-p\right\|+b_{n+m-1}\right]+b_{n+m} \\
& \leq \cdots \\
& \leq e^{\sum_{i=n}^{n+m} \delta_{i}}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+e^{\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} \delta_{i}} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} b_{i} \\
& \leq Q\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+Q \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} b_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q=e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}}<\infty$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, \mathfrak{F}\right)=0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i}<\infty$, for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, \mathfrak{F}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4(Q+1)}, \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} b_{i}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 Q}$ for any $n \geq n_{0}$. Hence, there exists $p_{1} \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, p_{1}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2(Q+1)}$ for any $n \geq n_{0}$. Consequently, for all $m \geq 1$ and for any $n \geq n_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+m}-x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n+m}-p_{1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-p_{1}\right\| \\
& \leq Q\left\|x_{n}-p_{1}\right\|+Q \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} b_{i}+\left\|x_{n}-p_{1}\right\| \\
& <\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $K$. Therefore there exists $q \in K$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\|=0$ for each $l \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $q \in \mathfrak{F}$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 extends the results of [2] and [3] from a finite family of nonexpansive mappings to a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings.

Theorem 3.3. Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex Banach space, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings of $K$ with sequences $\left\{h_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and one of the mappings in $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$ is semicompact. $A: K \rightarrow K$ is an L-Lischitzian mapping. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is real sequences in $(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) there exists constants $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that $\tau_{1} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \leq \tau_{2}, \forall n \geq 1$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty$;
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(h_{n}-1\right)<\infty$, where $h_{n}=\max \left\{h_{n}^{(1)}, h_{n}^{(2)}, \cdots, h_{n}^{(N)}\right\}$.

Then the hybrid implicit iteration $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be defined by (1.4) converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $T_{i_{0}}$ is semicompact for some $i_{0} \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$. By Theorem 3.1, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i_{0}} x_{n}\right\|=0$. Then there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{j}} \rightarrow p \in K$. Theorem 3.1 guarantees that $\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-T_{l} x_{n_{j}}\right\|=0$ for all $l \in$ $\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$. Therefore, we have $\left\|p-T_{i} p\right\|=0$ for all $l \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$. This implies that
$p \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists, therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=0$; that is, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$ is $K$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.4. Theorem 3.3 in this work improves Theorem 11 of Jiang et al. [3]. Also, the condition that $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$ be semicompact is replaced by the weaker assumption that any one of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$ be semicompact.

From Theorem 3.1, we can easily show the following strong convergence theorem, whose proof is omitted.

Theorem 3.5. Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex Banach space and let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings satisfying condition $(\bar{C})$ and $A: K \rightarrow K$ is an L-Lischitzian mapping. $\left\{h_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq N,\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ are sequences as in Theorem 3.3. If $\mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ then the hybrid implicit iteration $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be defined by (1.4) converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$. Theorem 3.6. Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial's condition, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and $A: K \rightarrow K$ be an L-Lischitzian mapping. $\left\{h_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq N,\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ are sequences as in Theorem 3.3. If $\mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ then the hybrid implicit iteration $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be defined by (1.4) converges weakly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$.

Proof. Since $E$ is uniformly convex, every bounded subset of $E$ is weakly compact. Also, since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded subset in $K$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ converges weakly to $p \in K$ and $\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-T_{l} x_{n_{j}}\right\|=0$ for all $l \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$. By Lemma 2.3, we have that $\left(I-T_{l}\right) p=0$, i.e. $p \in F\left(T_{l}\right)$. By arbitrariness of $l \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$, we have $p \in \mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.

Next, we prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $p$. Suppose $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ be another subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to some $p_{1} \in K$ and $p \neq p_{1}$. By the similar method as above we
have $p_{1} \in \mathfrak{F}=\cap_{n=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$, then by Opial's condition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| & =\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\| \\
& <\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p_{1}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p_{1}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p_{1}\right\| \\
& <\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $p=p_{1}$, which implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $p$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.7. Theorem 3.6 in this work extends Theorem 9 of Jiang et al. [3] to case of a hybrid implicit iteration for a finite of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings.
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