



Available online at <http://jfpt.scik.org>

J. Fixed Point Theory, 2018, 2018:4

ISSN: 2052-5338

A SOLUTION OF RANDOM NONLINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATION VIA RANDOM HYBRID ITERATIVE SCHEME

RASHWAN A. RASHWAN¹ AND HASANEN A. HAMMAD^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assuit University, Assuit 71516, Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt

Copyright © 2018 Rashwan and Hammad. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process which is a hybrid of random Jungck, Picard and krasnoselskii iterative processes. We prove under φ -contractive condition, our random hybrid iterative scheme converges faster than all of random Jungck-Picard, Jungck-Mann, Jungck-Krasnoselskii and Jungck-Ishikawa iterative processes. Finally, we use it to find a solution of random nonlinear integral equation. Our results generalize and improve several known results in stochastic and deterministic cases.

Keywords: random fixed point; random hybrid iterative processes; separable Banach spaces; random nonlinear integral equation.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping of X . Suppose that $F_T = \{x \in X : T(x) = x\}$ is the set of all fixed points of T , E be a nonempty subset of X and \mathbb{N} be the set of all positive integers.

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: h.elmagd89@yahoo.com

Received December 7, 2017

In 1976, Jungck [1] introduced the following iterative scheme: Let Y be a subspace of X and $S, T : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $T(Y) \subseteq S(Y)$, for every $x_0 \in Y$, the sequence $\{Sx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$(1.1) \quad Sx_{n+1} = Tx_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

This scheme is called Jungck iterative scheme.

A lot of author generalized this scheme to Jungck-Mann, Jungck-Ishikawa, Jungck-Noor and others, these iterations are used to approximate the common fixed point for mappings under suitable contractive conditions see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

The Picard iterative process [7] is defined by the sequence $\{u_n\}$ as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_1 = u \in E, \\ u_{n+1} = Tu_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

The Mann iterative process [8] is defined by the sequence $\{v_n\}$ as the following:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} v_1 = v \in E, \\ v_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)v_n + \alpha_nTv_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$.

The sequence $\{w_n\}$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} w_1 = w \in E, \\ w_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda)w_n + \lambda Tw_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

is known as Krasnoselskii iterative process [9], where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

The sequence $\{z_n\}$ defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} z_1 = z \in E, \\ z_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)z_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \\ y_n = (1 - \beta_n)z_n + \beta_nTz_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

is known as Ishikawa iterative process [10], where $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in (0, 1)$.

Recently, Khan [11] introduced the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process. This iterative process for one mapping case is given by the sequence $\{m_n\}$ as follows:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} m_1 = m \in E, \\ m_{n+1} = Tz_n, \\ z_n = (1 - \alpha_n)m_n + \alpha_n Tm_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$. This new iterative process can be seen as a hybrid of Picard and Mann iterative processes. He proved that the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process converges faster than all of Picard, Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes in the sense of Berinde [13].

Motivated by this facts, Okeke and Abbas [12] introduced Picard-krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process for the sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x \in E, \\ x_{n+1} = Ty_n, \\ y_n = (1 - \lambda)x_n + \lambda Tx_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. They proved that this iterative process converges faster than all of Picard, Mann, Krasnoselskii and Ishikawa iterative schemes in the sense of Berinde [13].

The following definitions are due to Berinde [13].

Definition 1.1. [13] Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two sequences of real numbers converging to a and b , respectively. The sequence $\{a_n\}$ is said to converge faster than $\{b_n\}$ if

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_n - a|}{|b_n - b|} = 0.$$

Definition 1.2. [13] Let $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ be two fixed point iteration processes that converge to a certain fixed point p of a given operator T . Suppose that the following error estimates are available:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\| &\leq a_n, \\ \|v_n - p\| &\leq b_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are two sequences of positive numbers converging to zero. If $\{a_n\}$ converges faster than $\{b_n\}$, then $\{u_n\}$ converges faster than $\{v_n\}$ to p .

Lemma 1.1. [13] *Let $\{k_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers which satisfies:*

$$k_{n+1} \leq (1 - \rho_n)k_n.$$

If $\{\rho_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_n = \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0$.

The study of random fixed point problems was initiated by the Prague school of probability research. The first results were studied in 1955-1956 by Špaček and Hanš in the context of Fredholm integral equations with random kernel. In a separable metric space, random fixed point theorems for random contraction mappings were proved by Hanš [15] and Špaček [16]. Bharucha-Reid [17] attracted the attention of several mathematicians and gave wings to this theory. Itoh [14] extended the results of Špaček and Hanš to multi-valued contractive mappings and obtained random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces. Now, it has become a full fledged research area and a vast amount of mathematical activities have been carried out in this direction (see [18, 19]).

Recently, some authors [20, 21] applied a random fixed point theorem to prove the existence of a solution in a separable Banach space of a random nonlinear integral equations. Chang et al. [24], Beg and Abbas [25] proved some convergence theorems of random Ishikawa and Mann iterative processes for strongly pseudo-contractive operators and contraction operators, respectively, in separable reflexive Banach spaces. Rashwan et al. [26] studied the convergence and almost sure (S, T) -stability of Jungck-Noor, Jungck-SP, Jungck-Ishikawa and Jungck-Mann type random iterative processes under suitable contractive condition for random operators in a separable Banach spaces.

2. Some basic concepts

Let (X, β_X) be a separable Banach space, where β_X is a σ -algebra of Borel subsets of X and let (Ω, β, μ) denote a complete probability measure space with measure μ and β be a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω .

Definition 2.1. [22] A measurable mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called:

(i) X -valued random variable, if the inverse image under the mapping x of every Borel set B of X belongs to β , that is, $x^{-1}(B) \in \beta$ for all $B \in \beta$.

(ii) finitely valued random variable, if it is constant on each of a finite number of disjoint sets $A_i \in \beta$ and is equal to 0 on $\Omega - \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right)$.

(iii) simple random variable, if it is finitely valued and $\mu\{\omega : \|x(\omega)\| > 0\} < \infty$.

(iv) strong random variable, if there exists a sequence $\{x_n(\omega)\}$ of simple random variables which converges to $x(\omega)$ almost surely, i.e., there exists a set $\mu(A_0) \in \beta$ with $\mu(A_0) = 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = x(\omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega - A_0$.

(v) weak random variable, if the function $x^*(x(\omega))$ is a real valued random variable, for each $x^* \in X^*$, the space X^* denoting the dual space of X .

Definition 2.2. [22] Let Y be a Banach space.

(i) a measurable mapping $f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a random mapping if $f(\omega, x) = Y(\omega)$ is a Y -valued random variable for every $x \in X$.

(ii) a measurable mapping $f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a continuous random mapping if the set of all $\omega \in \Omega$ for which $f(\omega, x)$ is a continuous function of x has measure one.

(iii) an equation of the type $f(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$ is called a random fixed point equation, where $f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ is a random mapping.

(iv) any measurable mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow X$ which satisfies the random fixed point equation $f(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$ almost surely is said to be a wide sense solution of the fixed point equation.

(v) any X -valued random variable $x(\omega)$ which satisfies $\mu\{\omega : f(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)\} = 1$ is said to be a random solution of the fixed point equation or a random fixed point of f .

(vi) a measurable mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called:

(a) a random fixed point of a random operator $f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ if $f(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

(b) a random coincidence of random operators $T, f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ if $T(\omega, x(\omega)) = f(\omega, x(\omega))$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

(c) a common random fixed point of random mappings $T, f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ if $T(\omega, x(\omega)) = f(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

Example 2.1. [22] Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and C be a non-measurable subset of X . Consider $f : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is a random mapping defined as $f(\omega, x(\omega)) = x^2(\omega) + x(\omega) - 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. It's

clearly that, the real-valued function $x(\omega) = 1$ is a random fixed point of f . However, the real-valued function $y(\omega) = \begin{cases} -1, & \omega \notin C \\ 1, & \omega \in C \end{cases}$ is a wide sense solution of the fixed point equation $f(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$ without being a random fixed point of f . Therefore, a random solution is a wide sense solution of the fixed point equation but the converse is not necessarily true.

The following definition is a stochastic form of (Definition 2.1, [23]).

Definition 2.3. Let (Ω, β, μ) is a complete probability measure space, E and Y be nonempty subsets of a separable Banach space X and $S, T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow Y$ are random operators such that $T(E) \subseteq S(E)$. Then, the random operators S and T are said to be generalized φ -contractive type if there exists a monotone increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in E$, $\delta(\omega) \in (0, 1)$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we get

$$(2.1) \quad \|T(\omega, x) - T(\omega, y)\| \leq \varphi(\|S(\omega, x) - T(\omega, x)\|) + \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, x) - S(\omega, y)\|.$$

Now, we present the stochastic verse of the above iterative processes as the following: Let $u_1, v_1, m_1, z_1, x_1 : \Omega \rightarrow E$ be an arbitrary measurable mappings and $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \lambda \in (0, 1)$.

The random Jungck-Picard iterative process is defined by the sequence $\{S(\omega, u_n(\omega))\}$ as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_1(\omega) = u(\omega) \in E, \\ S(\omega, u_{n+1}(\omega)) = T(\omega, u_n(\omega)), \quad n \in N. \end{cases}$$

The random Jungck-Mann iterative process is defined by the sequence $\{S(\omega, v_n(\omega))\}$ as the following:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} v_1(\omega) = v(\omega) \in E, \\ S(\omega, v_{n+1}(\omega)) = (1 - \alpha_n)S(\omega, v_n(\omega)) + \alpha_n T(\omega, v_n(\omega)), \quad n \in N. \end{cases}$$

The random Jungck-Krasnoselskii iterative process is given by the sequence $\{S(\omega, m_n(\omega))\}$ as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} m_1(\omega) = m(\omega) \in E, \\ S(\omega, m_{n+1}(\omega)) = (1 - \lambda)S(\omega, m_n(\omega)) + \lambda T(\omega, m_n(\omega)), \quad n \in N. \end{cases}$$

The sequence $\{S(\omega, z_n(\omega))\}$ defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} z_1(\omega) = z(\omega) \in E, \\ S(\omega, z_{n+1}(\omega)) = (1 - \alpha_n)S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) + \alpha_n T(\omega, r_n(\omega)), \\ S(\omega, r_n(\omega)) = (1 - \beta_n)S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) + \beta_n T(\omega, z_n(\omega)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

is known as random Jungck-Ishikawa iterative process.

The random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process is defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} x_1(\omega) = x(\omega) \in E, \\ S(\omega, x_{n+1}(\omega)) = T(\omega, y_n(\omega)), \\ S(\omega, y_n(\omega)) = (1 - \lambda)S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) + \lambda T(\omega, x_n(\omega)), n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we study the faster convergence of random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process and compare it with the above random iterative processes and we prove that, if it converges, then it converges to a solution of random nonlinear integral equation.

3. Rate of convergence

In this section, we prove that the random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process (2.6) converges at a rate faster than all of random Jungck-Picard (2.2), Jungck-Mann (2.3), Jungck-Krasnoselskii (2.4) and Jungck-Ishikawa (2.5) iterative processes.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (Ω, β, μ) is a complete probability measure space, let E be a nonempty subset of a separable Banach space X and let $S, T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow X$ be random nonself operators satisfying (2.1). Assume that $T(E) \subseteq S(E)$, $S(E)$ is a subset of X and $p(\omega) = T(\omega, x(\omega)) = S(\omega, x(\omega))$. Suppose that each of the random iterative processes (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) converges to the same random coincidence point $p(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow X$ of T and S , where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $0 < \alpha \leq \lambda$, $\alpha_n, \beta_n < 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some α . Then the random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process (2.6) converges faster than all the other four processes.*

Proof. Suppose that $p(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow C$ be a measurable coincidence point of the random operators S and T . Using (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, u_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| &= \|T(\omega, u_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| = \|T(\omega, x(\omega)) - T(\omega, u_n(\omega))\| \\
&\leq \varphi(\|S(\omega, x(\omega)) - T(\omega, x(\omega))\|) + \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, x(\omega)) - S(\omega, u_n(\omega))\| \\
&= \varphi(0) + \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, u_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&= \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, u_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \leq \dots \leq \delta^n(\omega) \|S(\omega, u_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(0) = 0$. Let

$$(3.1) \quad a_n = \delta^n(\omega) \|S(\omega, u_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.$$

By (2.1) and the random Jungck-Mann iterative process (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, v_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)S(\omega, v_n(\omega)) + \alpha_n T(\omega, v_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|S(\omega, v_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \alpha_n \|T(\omega, v_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|S(\omega, v_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \alpha_n \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, v_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&= [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, v_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq [1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, v_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq \dots \leq [1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \|S(\omega, v_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$(3.2) \quad b_n = [1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \|S(\omega, v_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.$$

Applying (2.1) and the random Jungck-Krasnoselskii iterative process (2.4), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, m_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| &= \|(1 - \lambda)S(\omega, m_n(\omega)) + \lambda T(\omega, m_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, m_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \lambda \|T(\omega, m_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, m_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \lambda \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, m_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&= [1 - \lambda(1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, m_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq [1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, m_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq \dots \leq [1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \|S(\omega, m_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(3.3) \quad c_n = [1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \|S(\omega, m_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.$$

Again, using (2.1) and the random Jungck-Ishikawa iterative process (2.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, r_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)) + \beta_n(T(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega))\| \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \beta_n \|T(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
(3.4) \quad &\leq [1 - \beta_n(1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|,
\end{aligned}$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, z_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \alpha_n \|T(\omega, r_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \alpha_n \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, r_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|,
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, z_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \delta(\omega) [1 - \beta_n (1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&= [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \delta(\omega) [1 - \beta_n (1 - \delta(\omega))])] \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq [1 - \alpha (1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, z_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq \dots \leq [1 - \alpha (1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \|S(\omega, z_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$(3.5) \quad d_n = [1 - \alpha (1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \|S(\omega, z_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.$$

By (2.1) and the random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, x_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| &= \|T(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq \delta(\omega) \|S(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq \delta(\omega) \{ (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \lambda \|T(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \} \\
&= \delta(\omega) (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| + \delta^2(\omega) \lambda \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&= \delta(\omega) [1 - \lambda (1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq \delta(\omega) [1 - \alpha (1 - \delta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\| \\
&\leq \dots \leq (\delta(\omega) [1 - \alpha (1 - \delta(\omega))])^n \|S(\omega, x_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(3.6) \quad h_n = (\delta(\omega) [1 - \alpha (1 - \delta(\omega))])^n \|S(\omega, x_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|.$$

Now, we calculate the rate of convergence of our random iterative process (2.6) as the following:

(i) It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_n}{a_n} &= \frac{(\delta(\omega)[1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))])^n \|S(\omega, x_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|}{\delta^n(\omega) \|S(\omega, u_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|} \\ &= [1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \frac{\|S(\omega, x_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|}{\|S(\omega, u_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{S(\omega, x_n(\omega))\}$ converges faster than $\{S(\omega, u_n(\omega))\}$ to $p(\omega)$. That is, the random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process converges faster than the random Jungck-Picard iterative process to $p(\omega)$.

(ii) Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_n}{b_n} &= \frac{(\delta(\omega)[1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))])^n \|S(\omega, x_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|}{[1 - \alpha(1 - \delta(\omega))]^n \|S(\omega, v_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|} \\ &= \delta^n(\omega) \frac{\|S(\omega, x_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|}{\|S(\omega, v_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{S(\omega, x_n(\omega))\}$ converges faster than $\{S(\omega, v_n(\omega))\}$ to $p(\omega)$.

(iii) Clearly,

$$\frac{h_n}{c_n} = \delta^n(\omega) \frac{\|S(\omega, x_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|}{\|S(\omega, m_1(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $\{S(\omega, x_n(\omega))\}$ converges faster than $\{S(\omega, m_n(\omega))\}$ to $p(\omega)$.

(iv) Finally, $\frac{h_n}{d_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{S(\omega, x_n(\omega))\}$ converges faster than $\{S(\omega, z_n(\omega))\}$ to $p(\omega)$. This completes the proof.

4. Application to a random nonlinear integral equation

In this section, we shall prove that our random hybrid iterative process (2.6) converges strongly to a solution of the following random nonlinear integral equation:

$$(4.1) \quad x(t; \omega) = h(t; \omega) + \int_0^t f(\omega; t, s, x(\omega; s)) ds,$$

where,

- (i) $\omega \in \Omega$ is a supporting set of the probability measure space (Ω, β, μ) ,
- (ii) $x(t; \omega)$ is unknown vector-valued random variables for each $t \in [0, a]$, $a > 0$,
- (iii) $h(t; \omega)$ is the stochastic free term defined for $t \in [0, a]$,

(iv) $f : \Omega \times [0, a] \times [0, a] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a random Carathéodory function and (Ω, β) is a measurable space.

Definition 4.1. A function $f : \Omega \times [0, a] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called random Carathéodory if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the mapping $(t; \omega) \rightarrow f(t, x; \omega)$ is jointly measurable for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) the mapping $x \rightarrow f(t, x; \omega)$ is continuous for all $t \in [0, a]$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

The integral equation (4.1) in stochastic case is a similar to Volterra integral equation of the second kind in deterministic case.

Let $C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$ be the space of all continuous functions defined on a closed interval $[0, a]$ endowed with the norm

$$\|x(\omega) - y(\omega)\|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0, a]} \{|x(\omega; t) - y(\omega; t)|\},$$

for all $x(\omega), y(\omega) \in C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. It's known that $C([0, a], \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space under this norm.

Next, we consider the equation (4.1) under the following conditions:

(H₁) $h(t; \omega) \in C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$,

(H₂) A random Carathéodory function $f : \Omega \times [0, a] \times [0, a] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|f_1(\omega; t, s, u(\omega)) - f_2(\omega; t, s, v(\omega))| \leq \theta(\omega) |u(\omega) - v(\omega)|,$$

for all $t, s \in [0, a]$, $0 < \theta(\omega) < 1$ and $u(\omega), v(\omega) \in C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$,

(H₃) $u(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a random fixed point for the random continuous operator S , i.e., $u(\omega) = S(\omega, u(\omega))$,

(H₄) For all $\theta(\omega) > 0$ and $t \in [0, a]$, the random value $t \cdot \theta(\omega) < 1$.

The following theorem shows the convergence of random hybrid iterative process (2.6) to a solution of random integral equation (4.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let (Ω, β, μ) be probability measure space and \mathbb{R} is a separable Banach space. Assume that the axioms (H₁) – (H₄) holds, then the random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process (2.6) converges strongly to a random solution of the random nonlinear integral equation (4.1).*

Proof. For $x(\omega) \in C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, a]$, we define the random integral operator $T : \Omega \times [0, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T(x)(\omega; t) = h(t; \omega) + \int_0^t f(\omega; t, s, x(\omega; s)) ds.$$

Let $S(\omega, x_n(\omega))$ be an iterative sequence generated by the random Jungck-Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process (2.6) and $p(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a unique random fixed point of the random operator T , which is a solution of a random nonlinear integral equation (4.1).

Now, we prove that $S(\omega, x_n(\omega))$ converges strongly to $p(\omega)$. For each $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, a]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty \\ & \leq (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + \lambda \|T(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty \\ & = (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + \lambda \|T(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - T(\omega, p(\omega))\|_\infty \\ & = (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + \lambda \max_{t \in [0, a]} \{|T(\omega, x_n(\omega; t)) - T(\omega, p(\omega; t))|\} \\ & = (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + \lambda \max_{t \in [0, a]} \left\{ \left| \int_0^t f(\omega; t, s, x_n(\omega; s)) ds - \int_0^t f(\omega; t, s, p(\omega; s)) ds \right| \right\} \\ (4.2) \quad & \leq (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + \lambda \max_{t \in [0, a]} \left\{ \int_0^t |f(\omega; t, s, x_n(\omega; s)) - f(\omega; t, s, p(\omega; s))| ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying conditions (H₂) and (H₃) in (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty \\ & \leq (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + \lambda \max_{t \in [0, a]} \left\{ \int_0^t \theta(\omega) (|S(\omega, x_n(\omega; s)) - p(\omega; s)|) ds \right\} \\ & \leq (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + \lambda \cdot \theta(\omega) \int_0^t \max_{s \in [0, a]} \{|S(\omega, x_n(\omega; s)) - p(\omega; s)|\} ds \\ & = (1 - \lambda) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty + (\lambda \cdot \theta(\omega) \cdot t) \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty \\ (4.3) \quad & = [1 - \lambda(1 - t \cdot \theta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.6) and (4.2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, x_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty &= \|T(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - T(\omega, p(\omega))\|_\infty \\
&= \max_{t \in [0, a]} \left\{ \left| \int_0^t f(\omega; t, s, y_n(\omega; s)) ds - \int_0^t f(\omega; t, s, p(\omega; s)) ds \right| \right\} \\
&\leq \max_{t \in [0, a]} \left\{ \int_0^t |f(\omega; t, s, y_n(\omega; s)) - f(\omega; t, s, p(\omega; s))| ds \right\} \\
(4.4) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq t \cdot \theta(\omega) \|S(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(\omega, x_{n+1}(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty &\leq t \cdot \theta(\omega) [1 - \lambda(1 - t \cdot \theta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty \\
&\leq [1 - \lambda(1 - t \cdot \theta(\omega))] \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lambda(1 - t \cdot \theta(\omega)) = \rho_n < 1$ and $k_n = \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty$, thus, $k_{n+1} \leq (1 - \rho_n)k_n$. Therefore all conditions of Lemma 1.1 are satisfied. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S(\omega, x_n(\omega)) - p(\omega)\|_\infty = 0$. So our random iterative hybrid process converges strongly to a unique random solution $p(\omega)$ of problem (4.1). This completes the proof.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83 (1976), 261-263.
- [2] A. Alotaibi, V. Kumar and N. Hussain, Convergence comparison and stability of Jungck-Kirk-type algorithms for common fixed point problems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID.
- [3] Bosede and A. Olufemi, On the stability of Jungck-Mann, Jungck-Krasnoselskij and Jungck iteration process in arbitrary Banach spaces, *Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc. Fac. Rer. Nat. Mathematica*, 50 (2011), 17-22.
- [4] Ioana, Stability of Jungck-type iterative procedure for some contractive type mappings via implicit relations, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 13 (2012), 555-567.
- [5] M. O. Olatinwo, A Generalization of some convergence results using the Jungck-Noor three step iteration process in arbitrary Banach space, *Fasciculi Math.*, 40 (2008), 37-43.

- [6] M. O. Olatinwo and C. O. Imoru, Some convergence result for the Jungck-Mann and Jungck-Ishikawa iteration process in the class of generalized Zamfirescu operators, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, 77 (2008), 299-304.
- [7] E. Picard, Memoire sur la theorie des equations aux derivees partielles et la methode des approximations successives, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 6 (1890), 145-210.
- [8] W. R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4 (1953), 506-510.
- [9] M. A. Krasnoselskii, Two observations about the method of successive approximations, *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, 10 (1955), 123-127.
- [10] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points by a new iteration method, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 44 (1974), 147-150.
- [11] S. H. Khan, A Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013 (2013), 1-10.
- [12] G. A. Okeke and M. Abbas, A solution of delay differential equations via Picard-Krasnoselskii hybrid iterative process, *Arab. J. Math.*, 6 (2017), 21-29.
- [13] V. Berinde, *Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points*, Efemeride, Baia Mare, (2002).
- [14] S. Itoh, Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 67 (1979), 261-273.
- [15] O. Hanš, Reduzierende zufällige transformationen, *Czechoslov. Math. J.*, 7 (1957), 154-158.
- [16] A. Špaček, Zufällige Gleichungen, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 5 (1955), 462-466.
- [17] A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Random Integral Equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 96, Academic Press, New York, (1972).
- [18] N. Shahzad, Random fixed points of discontinuous random maps, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 41 (2005), 1431-1436.
- [19] N. Shahzad and S. Latif, Random fixed points for several classes of 1-ball-contractive and 1-set-contractive random maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 237 (1999), 83-92.
- [20] R. A. Rashwan and H. A. Hammad, Random fixed point theorems with an application to a random nonlinear integral equation, *Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra*, 5 (2) (2016), 119-133.
- [21] R. A. Rashwan and H. A. Hammad, Random common fixed point theorem for random weakly subsequentially continuous generalized contractions with application, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 109 (4) (2016), 813-826.
- [22] M. C. Joshi and R. K. Bose, *Some Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi, (1984).
- [23] M. O. Olatinwo, Some stability and strong convergence results for the Jungck-Ishikawa iteration process, *Creat. Math. Inf.*, 17 (2008), 33-42.
- [24] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim and H. Y. Zhou, Random Ishikawa iterative sequence with applications, *Stoc. Anal. Appl.*, 23 (2005), 69-77.

- [25] I. Beg and M. Abbas, Equivalence and stability of random fixed point iterative procedures, *J. Appl. Math. Stoc. Anal.*, 2006 (2006), 1-19.
- [26] R. A. Rashwan, H. A. Hammad and G. A. Okeke, Convergence and almost sure (S, T) -stability for random iterative schemes, *Int. J. Adv. Math.*, 2016 (1) (2016), 1-16.